

University Endowment Lands Community Advisory Council

Minutes of the Monthly Public Meeting

Tuesday, November 17th, 2025, 6:00 pm

300-5755 Dalhousie Road

1. Call to Order (6:03 pm)
2. Adoption of the Agenda (6:04 pm)
 - a. Seconded & approved unanimously
3. Motion to appoint Alice Wang to the Advisory Design Panel as a representative from Area D (6:05 pm)
 - a. Seconded & approved unanimously
 - b. Be it resolved that Alice Wang be a member of the Advisory Design Panel as a representative from Area D**
4. Adoption of the Minutes of the Monthly Public Meeting of October 20th, 2025 (6:05 pm)
 - a. Seconded & approved unanimously
5. Manager's Report (6:06 pm)
 - a. Presented by Will Emo, UEL Manager
 - b. We will post the minutes to our website - tomorrow we'll have the minutes from September and prior updated
 - c. Our staff was out on strike in October for two weeks, but they returned on October 27th, and services should be back to normal now as of last week
 - d. Development Services
 - i. No new applications for rezoning or development
 - ii. There have been a few inquiries for pre-application - last month, there was a 5-lot subdivision on Allison Road based on TOAs
 - iii. There were no applications forwarded to the ADP for October or November
 - e. Thank you for appointing Alice to the ADP, and if anyone else would like to be a member of the ADP, please contact the CAC who can vote and recommend a community member - there are still openings in all of the areas
 - f. Last month, there was a discussion about proposed changes to the bus stop on University Boulevard and the 99 B-Line - Translink has reached out to say that they will do community consultation, and there's a link to their website to provide comments on that - the current 99 B-Line stops on University Boulevard by Menno Hall near Westbrook Mall, close to the terminus station - that disrupts the flow of the route, so they're proposing to move the last stop closer to Lelem at Shortcut Road

- g. Vancouver has reached out regarding the grass area in Area C - they've identified risks with the picnic tables there and so they are planning to remove them - they'd like to get a sense of interest about permanent tables, e.g., concrete tables, wood tables - looking for community input on that - if you have ideas or comments on that, I can forward that to the parks department or they can come out to a future meeting - that area is managed by MetroVan, not us
- h. Public Works
 - i. We've started a sewer replacement on Newton Wynd in Area B as part of a video inspection program throughout the UEL - there was a collapsed pipe and dirt getting into the pipe - replacing an emergency section of storm sewer - this week or next week, we'll start replacing a section of water main on Kingston Road in advance of a project next year to replace the sewers
 - i. We've been going through an OCP update all this year, as is required through legislation to update the OCP by December, after other updates to our land use bylaw - we've had meetings in the Community Centre, comments, etc. and all of that has been put together and is being sent to the minister for their decision - we appreciate everyone who took part of that
- 6. Topic of Interest for Open Discussion (6:13 pm)
 - a. Parking Regulation in Area C
 - b. Special Guest: Sgt. Josh Strikwerda from RCMP
 - c. Madeleine's introduction
 - i. It's possible that some of you have received emails from me - in terms of the governance of the CAC/UEL, a lot of people have come forward and expressed that they are upset that the residents can't vote, so I was trying to state what was factual - for those of you who don't know, the UEL is governed by bylaws and there is a Society Act, which states very clearly what the UEL management is - the management is represented by Will - the CAC itself has four members, and a vote from these CAC representatives makes a recommendation to the UEL management - the UEL management does not have to listen to the vote of the CAC reps - they can act like city staff in Vancouver and they have ultimate jurisdiction on what gets implemented or not in regards to parking - to put it plainly, our parking could change tomorrow without the input from the CAC or the residents, so what we are aiming for here is rather a fulsome exploration of options - ultimately a recommendation forward and the ear of the UEL management - I didn't make these rules up
 - ii. The other thing people have been saying is that perhaps the camping could simply be solved by a phone call to the RCMP - the difficulty with this is that it's not the RCMP's job when there isn't a crime being committed,

and right now there are no parking regulations, which means free parking for anyone - even when there is overnight parking for weeks, nothing is being violated and there's not necessarily any criminal activity so the RCMP does not have an obligation to deal with that

- iii. Also, the feedback so far has been pretty fulsome from in-person meetings, emails, or letters, etc. - in terms of the number of households in Area C that don't want any change at all, that is 20 - the number of households that do want a change is 27 - what that change looks like, we're going to discuss because there've been numerous options put forward - I am your neighbour, and I am your volunteer - I'm only here to take forward and amalgamate your views and wishes - my initial email on October 18th was an offering in response to a very long email chain offering solutions, which was actually quite well-received - I then increased the mailing list and sent another email on October 18th - I sincerely apologize if I didn't approach it the right way - I'm trying to do the best thing for the community, and I don't have an inclination one way or the other
- d. Resident: Where are you getting your numbers from?
 - i. Madeleine: The email chain, in-person, etc.
- e. Resident: What percentage of households in the area did you include?
 - i. Madeleine: 37% of households
 - ii. Resident: Maybe check with more households
 - iii. Madeleine: To be fair, 37% is higher than any municipal vote
- f. Resident: I think the initial concern is an individual camper with a wood stove in Area C hanging out - we drove through North Vancouver and there was a row of campers down by the port - both North Vancouver and Vancouver have a massive bylaw department and a massive amount of people and police to deal with these kinds of issues - I guess the question to Will is, if we were to enact no overnight parking, would we still be able to move someone on who is "homeless" without a court injunction?
 - i. Will: The method I have proposed is similar to other areas in the UEL that have a resident permit parking system - in Schedule 3 of the land use bylaw (on-street parking), signs can indicate that parking is not permitted without a permit - the residents are entitled to permits, and if you don't have a permit, the bylaw enforcement officer can go by and tow - beyond that, we'd have to look at other options, like if the sign states some kind of hourly limitation - all I'm looking for is a recommendation from the CAC to do something - I'll then go away and come up with a plan, or maybe some options - I'd also like to thank Madeleine for the work she has done, as it's not easy - she's a volunteer and spent a lot of time with me trying to

understand the system, and trying to correct inaccuracies - I've seen no bias whatsoever from her or the CAC, who are just trying to get the information out there to the community and make a recommendation - I went through some of our bylaws just to get the basis of where I'm coming from

- g. Resident: Who has the legal authority to hand out a parking ticket? If something is enforced, what is the legal framework for that bylaw system?
 - i. Will: We use Schedule 3 of the land use bylaw
 - ii. Resident: Do we have someone to hand tickets out?
 - iii. Will: Yes, I can establish parking areas within any area of the UEL - in Area D there's paid parking for commercial areas, in Area B there's permit parking on-street for residents, etc. - we have a bylaw patrol officer on contract who gives tickets - our only way of enforcing these rules is to tow a vehicle - we'll give out a warning via ticket on first offense, otherwise the vehicle gets towed - we cannot issue fines or other kinds of violations
 - iv. Resident: But in that situation, under permit parking, who is legally enforcing that? For noise bylaws, the RCMP can't do anything - it seems similar here because it is a land use bylaw and not legally enforceable?
 - v. Will: We can tow vehicles - it's built into the bylaw
 - vi. Resident: What about the RCMP? Can't they go by?
 - vii. Will: No, the RCMP is not the one doing that
- h. Resident: So if the problem is overnight camping and no one is on duty at night, and we can't call the RCMP, then what's the point?
 - i. Resident: To prevent it long-term
 - ii. Resident: The campers could just come back daily
 - iii. Resident: It's two separate issues - there are also long-term campers who leave their vehicles for weeks or even months, and the RCMP still can't do anything about that because they're not deemed abandoned
- i. Resident: What about parking in this area? Who enforces it?
 - i. Will: We do - the bylaw enforcement officer comes during the day
 - ii. Resident: Camping is one thing, but camping for multiple days in a row? The causeway now could just be wrapped around the park - the park boundary is where the problem is - just put the 2-hour parking limitation on the edge of the parks - people would still come to use the park
- j. Resident: For those of us who are unfamiliar with this problem, could someone explain the problem and the scope of it?
 - i. Resident: It's been 2 years, but it's been an issue this past summer in particular - there have been 6 to 10 vans and campers setting up shop on the north side of Chancellor - it's not just the white van with the wood stove - that guy is deranged, but there are other campers who come too - a

moving van parks there, but he's actually just living in the moving van, a five-ton truck - that fellow in particular I've seen urinating on my lawn when I get up in the morning - and on the north side of Chancellor, there were nine of them one night, all walking in the woods with their toilet paper and they dumped all the garbage at the garbage receptacle, and then it's up to whenever staff can come around to pick it up - the issue is getting worse and worse, and it's not the students - I don't care about students who park in Area C and then bike to campus because they're gone by the evening - the issue is the overnights and it's happening more and more and I want a solution that gives the RCMP the tools to do something

- k. Resident (to RCMP): The 2-hour parking limitation is a total no-brainer - even though there'd be no way to enforce it, you could at least say something to them, and once you do that a few times it'll stop
- l. Resident: One of the reasons you don't see as many campers as you do is that several of us move them along - I walk my dog morning, noon, and night, and I speak to these people - I've probably moved forty of them along in the last few months - telling them that this isn't a campground and the RCMP will be notified - if I didn't do that, those forty would tell their friends - for those of you who don't know, it's residents like Scott and myself who tell them it's not a campground - people sent me an email saying there's no problem with parking on Blanca when I was the one who moved them out - I find it very difficult to cross with my car because campers were there, and I've nearly almost hit people several times - when my daughter was nine, she asked if she could go to the park with the dog - I followed up a few minutes later and a camper invited her into the forest to go look for a kite - that's a true story - we don't know who these people are but to me, it felt too creepy to have my kids out in the neighbourhood after that
 - i. Resident: It makes me really nervous to think of you all talking to this guy, who is apparently loony - I think of the police officer who talked to a homeless guy and got killed - it is unsafe - you're not dealing with a normal mentality and they're dangerous
 - ii. Resident: For sure, I don't plan to do it again
- m. Resident: I also live on the south side of Chancellor, so I'm quite aware of the campers - I do remember some time ago a number of signs were put up and when this happened, there was no more overnight parking there - I'm suggesting to focus on the issue of the campers and put no overnight signs where the camping/parking is, since we still want to encourage runners and hikers - how much would it cost to put the signs up?
- n. Resident: I've lived in College Highroad for many years, and there are a couple of issues that have already been raised - parking in certain parts of Area C, like 7th

Avenue and parts of Chancellor, is hard for residents - the second issue is overnight parking - I also understand from the feedback sent to Madeleine that there is currently no law to prevent overnight parking - in response to the suggestion of putting in a local law for no overnight parking, that'd probably help but I'm not sure about having it all throughout Area C - putting 2-hour parking is also way overkill and would affect our lives a lot, since we have family coming over who aren't residents - is it possible to do more research on 7th and parts of Chancellor, limiting the issue to those blocks rather than the whole of Area C?

- o. Madeleine: Remember, we are open to suggestions - recommendations can be made and it is the UEL management who will offer us options in return - thinking on making it apply throughout Area C was simply a notion that came from the residents so that if there's no overnight parking on a certain street, it wouldn't just shift the problem one street over
 - i. Resident: We could wait for that to happen before making more changes
- p. Madeleine: In regards to the day trippers/students, it seems that from what I can tell, that isn't that much of an issue and the primary issue is the overnight camping - day trippers are a secondary issue, and the tertiary issue is the abandoned cars of residents leave their car when they leave - the group wants to focus on the most important thing, which is overnight camping
- q. Resident: I spent all afternoon reading the bylaws - we have 3.10, which states that no person should park trailers/motorhomes with a GBW excess of a certain amount of pounds overnight
 - i. Madeleine: The vans are far below that weight, unfortunately
 - ii. Resident: I've seen their motorhomes parked over weekends - they'd take their bikes out and go into the UEL and even take out lawn chairs to sit and drink - they didn't even care when I looked at them - there was also someone who parked his truck in front of my home - it's not just these outer areas that have this problem - I have to be honest, this governance method we have is a mess and I don't mean to cast any aspersions - as a retired lawyer I couldn't figure it out - we have the ability to pass bylaws, but it's the enforcement that's the problem and the municipal ticketing system - there shouldn't be a reason why the RCMP can't enforce our bylaws but we ended a contract to be opened with Vancouver for that to happen and we'd have to write proper bylaws - the reason we don't do things here is because we have no enforcement - Will's enforcement is common law and he can tow cars only because of trespassing - this is ridiculous given the taxes we're paying - who are you employed by?
 - iii. Will: I'm employed by the Province of British Columbia but I'm under the Ministry of Housing and Municipal Affairs

- iv. Resident: Where is the political will? Or is it money? Too many changes to make? The amount we pay in property taxes and yet we're lacking fundamental things like law enforcement
- v. Resident: A lot of people don't seem to want changes
- vi. Resident: In Vancouver, you can just do a phone call and they come and make sure you live there - it doesn't have to be signs and tickets
- vii. Resident: Well, where is the political will to fix this? Have you been told that they don't want to pay for it?
- viii. Will: Yes, there is a disconnect between our bylaws and what the RCMP can enforce because that is under an enforcement act - in terms of municipal ticketing, that would allow us to do fines - yes, there is an aspect of political will needed to enable that, because we need to change our legislation and the UEL Act in order to enable municipal ticketing so we can improve the bylaws related to enforcement - right now, we can't do that and our only course is to tow the vehicle - this is something that's being worked on and there is movement towards it - maybe it'll be considered next spring, but there's nothing just yet - there've been issues before like noise, abandoned houses, etc. so it's been on our radar - but right now we can't create a bylaw that says you can only park for two hours
- ix. Resident: Can you put up signs, though?
- x. Will: I'm trying to suggest things I know I can enforce - we can put up a sign, but you can also put up a sign that says you have ADT monitoring even if you don't
- xi. Resident: What about the "No Stopping" signs?
- xii. Will: That would be pushing the problem around
- r. Resident: As someone who does live on the 4700 block of Chancellor, one of things I was curious about is whether we could just have No Parking signs on the 48-4900 block along the park, and also on the golf course side of the 4700 block - there's the fringe of woods that people use a toilet or where people leave cars for a long period of time - the south side, and you may not have noticed this, is very narrow - it's got a grassy side and a narrow road so people there park right on the grass - I think there should not be parking ever on the south side of 4700 Chancellor - just a week or two ago there was a camper staying there for several days, moving around but still parked there for several days - I would love to see No Parking signs there - I really love the ideas about why it can't be like Vancouver where you can just call - the other thing I was going to suggest is whatever solution we end up deciding on, maybe we start a trial run for a couple years to see how it goes? - perhaps with signs on specific streets - another thing I'd like to point out is that we're being rezoned, and in the future people will be

able to build fourplexes and sixplexes, and I don't know if those will mandate parking or not, but it seems like there will be more demand for street parking in the future - also, Lelem has less parking than units on purpose because they'll use transit, but we are the closest place where people might park - how proactive do we want to be, or do we want to follow up on population changes instead?

- i. Will: For Lelem, the new buildings they have there have more capacity than needed - their underground parking is only half full and they've stated that several times - the new towers will have underground parking too - in terms of the other point about rezoning for R4 and R6, that zoning has been in place for over a year - we haven't had any applications for that kind of housing yet
- ii. Resident: Will there be mandated parking for those?
- iii. Will: We can't force them to have a certain amount of parking
- iv. Resident: There is an offstreet parking requirement written in the bylaw
- v. Will: There might be a disconnect since the land use bylaw isn't fully up to date - the update has only been out for a year
- s. Resident: We're not having these problems in Area A - we've got a permit system that doesn't cost anything - if your family comes over, they can just park on the street - if someone unknown is parked for days, I'd complain, but if a neighbour comes it's not an issue - even tradespeople park and no one has any issues - I don't understand why something like this wouldn't work for Area C - the permit system acts on complaint - even if people are having a party, there'll be notice, like if we're having a reception on Wednesday night, if someone has a problem, they can come talk to me - we also border along the park - I never hear anybody complaining - the system seems to work and it can be set up quickly
- t. Resident: The only problem we've had in 25 years in Area A is that every once in a while, for a short time, management becomes a little too eager to enforce - but nobody's complaining and we rely on neighbourhood - if there's a time when student parking surges because we're a couple blocks away from campus, we let management know and they might put some warnings out - the ticketing thing is very interesting and I think the CAC should be getting updates regularly and we want to push that because towing is a vicious alternative - a fine would be much better - we have loads of bylaws in the UEL that are incredibly useful even if unenforceable, such as the no noise on Sunday bylaw - the problems stop without needing to enforce them
- u. Resident: For those who live in Area C, when we drive in from Blanca, we're met with signs on both sides (e.g., no through road signs) - what about replacing those signs with no overnight parking or else you will be towed signs? - I think no overnight parking signs don't do it because they don't know what the consequences are - deterrence is very importance, unless we have a mole telling

everybody on Reddit - hopefully we can call the police and there can be something done without a bylaw - there are concerns about aesthetics and costs, so I'm just talking about replacing some of the existing signs

- i. Will: That's an important sign, and we can't really remove or change those
- I'm hesitant to put something out there that isn't in the bylaw
- v. Resident: I'm not affected by this personally, but I feel like we should live as neighbours and care for each other
- w. Resident: Couple of quick comments, and I'm from the 4700 block too - it'd be good to do a pilot project - the tendency for institutions is to solve all problems at once - if people move to a different place we could deal with that in turn - that's not institutionally desirable but would be desirable for the neighbourhood
- x. Resident: Let's thank Madeleine for her hard work, as people have been incredibly rude to her for her volunteer position - I don't want signage - the permit parking system doesn't work because people like coming into our area to visit - I wonder if we can get some kind of power in the spring to just say no overnight parking, since that would address the camping and the long-term parking - realistically, Vancouver wouldn't come for days anyways - the process is that onerous - it takes months sometimes for them to move
 - i. Resident: But if you've got family staying over, what do you do? That should be permitted
 - ii. Resident: The officer is only there if you make a complaint
- y. Resident: I think if the sign said no overnight parking without a permit these folks wouldn't know if we had permits or not - if we do need a permit, we could hang something just for show and it wouldn't be an issue - there's a car abandoned on 6th Avenue for months - the truck on Blanca that's been a nuisance has gone in the last week or so, but there's still a motorhome in our area that makes it difficult to move
- z. Resident: It's dangerous to go down the bicycle zone on Blanca because there are vans and all kinds of things parked there - if we want the bicycle zone extended, who does one have to go to?
 - i. Resident: You'd have to go to Vancouver
 - ii. Resident: But the city doesn't want to bother, because I'm not paying taxes to them
- aa. Resident: I guess to me, we should be putting up signs because we can't enforce anything - the overnight campers are the issue, not the students - the best step is to put up signs that you can't park without a permit - I feel like it's the cheapest option
 - i. Madeleine: You suggested no parking without a permit but we could simply just say on the perimeters on the park that there's No Parking or No Parking overnight allowed - we wouldn't have to get into permits at all

- ii. Resident: Just like Peter said, if we add a bit more, it might persuade people more
- iii. Resident: I don't think we can put up signs that are lies
- bb. Madeleine: In regards to the earlier point about no parking/towing - that's the same as what's on 16th Avenue, etc.
 - i. Will: We can only tow if we have a permit parking system
 - ii. Madeleine: How does that make sense?
 - iii. Will: We can put No Parking signs - I could do that tomorrow - and we don't do any enforcement in Area C right now - that's another layer, since getting the patroller to patrol Area C would be a change to what they do
 - iv. Madeleine: To be clear, you can do No Parking signs without permits?
 - v. Will: If someone is parked in a No Parking area, they could be ticketed and towed
 - vi. Madeleine: Can we do No Parking overnight?
 - vii. Resident: Why not just do No Parking?
 - viii. Madeleine: There's a difference - but if we can do No Parking, can we also do No Parking overnight?
 - ix. Will: I'd have to get legal opinion on how it's interpreted
 - x. Resident: It would make sense along the Drummond Drive green space to have No Parking
 - xi. Madeleine: Agreed, but there are other areas that might be different
 - xii. Will: There could be a broad review of the different areas
- cc. Resident: I have no problem with no parking on the park boundaries - I think no overnight parking along the park would work - the 2-hour parking also makes sense to me
- dd. Resident: Chancellor is actually Ministry of Highways jurisdiction
 - i. Will: There's a whole jurisdictional thing - all the roads are under their jurisdiction, but we have an understanding that they only manage the arterial roads (major roads) and the rest is left to us to do what we want
 - ii. Resident: If we have a sign that says No Parking overnight, there would still need to be an enforceable element for us to do something
 - iii. Madeleine: But why is it not enforceable? It's the same level of enforceability as towing is
 - iv. Resident: The sign can be put up tomorrow - there could be someone who comes by and checks on it
 - v. Will: We'd need a patroller, and we'd need to define what "overnight" means
 - vi. Josh: I would probably shorten the duration from 11 pm to 10 pm - NW Marine Drive has no parking between 11 pm and 6 am - our primary focuses on roads are excessive speeding and impaired driving - we are also

getting more events involving races - there will be 2-3 week time periods where we're pushing people out - then the people look for the next closest area and they find neighbourhoods - we do some parking enforcement - UBC does file parking complaints quite frequently, as does Translink, but we're pretty specific - unless there's a driving-related issue, we usually have to prioritize other files and investigations, especially at nighttime - the No Parking signs do help us - the 10% who won't move are the problem - I want you to consider what the other parking signs are nearby and I don't want your area to be considered a softer parking area - sometimes parking within a neighbourhood means people will just go further and further inside - one of the considerations is also people inside the vans overnight, since we can't tow a vehicle if there is a person inside refusing to get out

ee. Resident: My understanding is that the easiest way to go about it is a permit parking system with 2-hour parking

i. Will: Resident permit parking - it'd be through a decal registered with us

ii. Resident: That would solve the problem? I know you don't want a sign and permit, but doesn't it just blanket the issue? Our neighbourhood would no longer be a soft spot, then

iii. Resident: But there's no 2-hour parking

iv. Will: We can look into that, possibly - it'd just be different from areas like A or B - regardless, if you're a resident of a property, you can get a permit - there's also no limit to how many permits are issued to a single property as long as you actually live there - each permit can also have a guest pass - let us know if you're having a party or let your neighbours know

ff. Resident: Just a comment about the camper issue - I would hope we do not have to move to the permit parking systems in Areas A and B, because I have to get a visitor pass every time I park there - they're used to it - I just think we need to do this incrementally - I support our retired Crown prosecutor - her point is very well taken and we need to move forward in that direction

i. Resident: I have a fair amount of sympathy for Will - putting up signs and hoping people will obey is not ideal - the only way he can possibly enforce is through permits - I don't want signs up either, and it'll probably be painful - I am just more so aghast at how this is the only place in the entire province that does not have a governance structure given the major urban area

gg. Resident: 2 or 3 hours of parking being allowed without permit works from a governance standpoint, right?

i. Will: We have to look into that because there are different definitions for permissive versus prohibitive types of parking regulations

- hh. Resident: On 6th/Blanca, 7th/Blanca etc. do we really need signs?
 - i. Resident: Will needs to look into that
 - ii. Will: That's secondary - we need to have a minimum number of signs if they're put up - like a certain number per block or per side
 - iii. Katerina: I think in regards to the 2-3 hours and permit parking, if you are a resident of Vancouver, you would have a way of thinking that overnight parking was allowed
 - iv. Will: They can make specific regulations and signs - hopefully we can get there at some point
- ii. Madeleine: Josh was mentioning special events - I want to put it out there that FIFA is coming, so 350,000 people are coming to Vancouver, which has 13,000 hotel rooms - this is a huge issue that the municipality is dealing with - people apparently are looking to stay as far as Abbotsford and Chillouak, and there will be shuttle buses, so people might be all over our streets - that starts June 13th
- jj. Resident: Does everyone realise that half of 6th Avenue is Vancouver? I like the idea of just having a sign at the top of the block saying whatever we've decided - I also like the map drawn by Craig of where No Parking overnight should be - I think it should be extended starting with the bus loop and heading all the way up - in Victoria, if one needs parking space for a guest, they're given something to hang in the vehicle - that seems kind of officious and I don't think we need to go that far
- kk. Resident: One of the joys of living in Area C is that we don't have a bureaucracy - the notion of needing to hand out a parking pass when someone comes to your house is opposite to how we've lived there
 - i. Resident: That's why we have a 3-hour exemption - I don't want to see anyone for more than 3 hours anyways
- ll. Resident: I came here in complete opposition to the permit parking system, but it seems like legally that's the only way parking can be enforced - but it also seems like that won't work because we can't do enforcement at night - as a matter of fact, if it's restricted to 3 hours, they can just park at 3 pm and then park again overnight, so it wouldn't solve the issue - the only thing that can solve this is bureaucracy - also, what happens if they show up to the UEL office asking for a permit that doesn't exist?
 - i. Resident: Well, you have to be a resident
 - ii. Resident: What happens if you need more than one guest pass? You have to physically go to the UEL office?
 - iii. Resident: Usually neighbours are aware
 - iv. Resident: If we do the permits, why would we need guest passes?
 - v. Will: Because 3.5 allocates one resident decal and one visitor pass for each resident registered to the address

- vi. Resident: What if a guest drives off with your pass?
- vii. Will: Obtain a new one - also, if you have a lot of people coming over, you can just ask us and we'll tell the officer not to patrol the area that day
- viii. Resident: There's a big difference between parking only being enforced when people complain and having someone actively going around
- ix. Will: These are the things we need to consider - someone else said the system was complaint-based - I didn't say that - if there's a permit system, the officer can go by once a day and check whether the cars parked have permits - if it's a 3-hour system, they have to go at 9 am, and then at 12 pm, and do it all over again - that takes time away from patrolling more areas - so there are consequences and implications - once again, a recommendation for me to go do something means I can put them together and try to come up with viable options, so in this case extending the hours, or having a second patroller, etc. - there are also options that don't cost anything like signage
- mm. Resident: The bylaw allows you to issue parking passes for each registered car, and also temporary passes, right?
 - i. Will: Yes
 - ii. Resident: You can issue extra passes - is there any possibility that we could issue these extra passes for a year, or multiple years?
 - iii. Will: Maybe - that gets down to more policy
 - iv. Resident: I'm trying to think of a solution that won't involve strict boundaries
- nn. Resident: When I visit my sister-in-law, 20 people come over and no one gets a pass, and we spend the evening and leave - it's complaint-based and only your neighbours would turn you in - it seems simple just not to turn in your neighbours
 - i. Will: The system isn't perfect - it is a bit onerous to be "complaint-based" - the officer has their schedule
- oo. Resident: I represent my family, who lives in Area C - my sister and I are still close to my parents - let's say my sister goes to her house and they use a guest pass - can I still park there half an hour later?
 - i. Will: If there was a permit parking system, yes, but the reality is that the patroller works Monday to Friday, from 9 to 5 - if you park midday on Friday, you'd get a warning for the first offense - it wouldn't be an instant towing
- pp. Resident: Can we put a sign on our dashboard?
 - i. Will: No, because you'd be creating your own permit system
- qq. Resident: I'm still worried about the effectiveness of the permit parking system - does the RCMP have the right to move campers off the north side of Chancellor? Like 4900 block?

- i. Josh: I don't think there are signs there
- ii. Resident: Yeah, there are no signs
- iii. Madeleine: But with the permit system, they wouldn't have permits, so they'd be in violation
- iv. Resident: But if I call and say there are three campers on the street and it's 11:30 pm at night, can they be moved along?
- v. Josh: We do move people along - the issue is the people who are in there, like with one guy it took four months due to severe mental health issues, refusing any sort of care, and prescription substances they were taking - some people are no problem and move along willingly but others don't listen and that proves to be a far bigger challenge - they don't care about any sort of financial repercussions - the signs and/or permit system would give us a tool to start moving them on - some of the people who park in the area told us that they risk it because it's actually cheaper to try illegal parking than to pay for parking, even if they get towed multiple times a year
- rr. Resident: Even if we implement permits, I think we can agree it'd be inconvenient or unnecessarily increase complexity to some extent - I'm also not sure it'd address the core issue of the campers - the guy will only drive around during the day - does this really solve the problem? Why don't we try an easier solution with the signage? There's some movement on bylaws which could occur in the spring - when that happens, maybe we'll have other tools - let's try something in the short term that's easy and simple - I just moved here from Vancouver - it's a utopia, really, a wonderful neighbourhood and I don't want it to be too bureaucratic here - maybe we could try changes in stages
- ss. Resident: It's interesting to hear how difficult it is to move campers - is there any other system that Josh would recommend?
 - i. Josh: Not really, given the amount of work it would take and the legal work as well - this is just such a unique jurisdiction - Canadian law isn't really meant to deal with a jurisdiction like this and it creates a whole bunch of challenges
 - ii. Resident: So is it more desirable to have this tool as opposed to not having it?
 - iii. Josh: For us, if there's functional signage in place, that gives us something to move those people along - we basically just end up trying to negotiate with people if there's no signage - I've talked to the individual with the van and the wood stove a number of times - he likes to keep moving and he likes the quiet so he bounces between his favourite areas - some people know that they can't be towed if they're inside the car

- iv. Resident: My biggest success with that guy was to call the Fire Department when he was using the stove, and say that there was a car on fire
 - tt. Madeleine: Maybe Will can come back to us with options on what's possible and not possible, as starting points
- 7. Adjournment (7:50 pm)
 - a. Seconded & approved unanimously